Case Report

Journal of Blood

Are Closed Reduction and Percutaneous Pinning Enough in Oncology Patients with Non-Pathologic Fractures?

Baoudi M

***Corresponding Author :**

Baoudi M
Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, Department of Traumatology & Orthopeadic Surgery, Oujda, Morocco.
E-mail: Baoudi_M93@gmail.com

Received : June 11, 2024
Accepted : June 13, 2024
Published : July 13, 2024

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The prevalence of hip fractures in patients with a history of can- CER is expected to rise, regardless of whether the fracture is pathologic or not. The purpose of this research was to find answers to two questions: (1) What is the appropriate imaging modality to determine if the fracture is pathologic? (2) Is osteosynthesis (OS) an appropriate technique for the treatment of leg bone neck fractures in cancer patients?

Materials and Methods: We performed a retrospective review of patients with leg bone neck fractures who underwent OS or Hemiarthroplasty (HA) at a single oncological referral centre. There were 127 patients known, 109 of whom underwent angular distance and eighteen of whom underwent OS. It was decided to compare the imaging to the histological analysis.

Results: Indisputable picture-taking image analysis When compared to radiographs alone, the addition of advanced imaging enhanced accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV. Every angular distance and OS provided solid reconstructive options.

Discussion and Conclusion: Radiographs for comparison without advanced imaging are wrong 26% of the time; advanced imaging improves the accuracy. In patients with a history of malignancy but no underlying pathologic fracture, osteosynthesis may be a solid rehabilitative option.

Keywords : Femoral neck fractures • Closed reduction • Hip hemiarthroplas- ty • pathologic process cancer • Pathologic fracture.

INTRODUCTION

Approximately three hundred,000 hip fractures occur annually within the us with leg bone neck fractures account for five hundredth of all hip fractures [1-3]. Nondisplaced or valgus wedged leg bone neck fractures, classified as Garden I and II, account for 2 hundredth of all leg bone neck fractures [4,5]. The goal of operation is to supply a sturdy construct that permits for early walk. Closed Reduction and transdermic promise (CRPP) with will nulated screws is that the typical treatment for non- displaced or valgus wedged leg bone neck fractures [6,7]. The treatment for displaced leg bone neck fractures is sometimes Hemiarthroplasty (HA), though within the high functioning patients, total hip surgery ends up in higher perform and a lot of foreseeable pain relief [8]. If the patient cannot tolerate associate degree open procedure, CRPP has been incontestible to indicate sensible results [9].

Advances in cancer treatment has raised 5-year overall survival for the foremost common cancers up to sixty six [10]. in addition, estimates for the amount of individuals living with bony metastases have raised to four hundred,000 [10]. In patients with pathologic process malignant neoplastic disease, the pelvis and proximal femoris area unit the foremost common location of bony lesions. As a result, the incidence of hip fractures in patients presenting with a history of cancer is anticipated to extend, whether or not the fracture is directly associated with their cancer or not. freelance of the underlying explanation for the fracture, the goals of fixation area unit similar: early weight bearing, limit incapacity, decrease surgical morbidity, and minimize hospital length of keep.

In orthopaedic medical specialty, treatment choice is ruled by the well- established principle of "one bone, one surgery." Thus, patients with imminent and pathologic fractures area unit treated with fixation sturdy for the patient's life and to forestall future surgical intervention if there's native progression of illness. it's been incontestible in patients with pathologic process cancer and myeloma, close to twelve-tone system of patients develop native bony illness progression however just one needed extra surgery [11]. However, a health care info review has incontestible that a identification of cancer, moreover as pneumonic and/or circulatory co-morbidities, peripheral vascular illness, cardiovascular disease, glandular disorder, male gender and anemia from acute blood loss, area unit all freelance risk factors for patients requiring conversion

from CRPP to a complete hip surgery [12].

The primary goal of this study was to form associate degree rule to guide within the management of patients with a history of cancer UN agency gift with leg bone neck fractures. This study sought-after to associate degreeswer 2 call points contained within the algorithm: (1) what's applicable|the acceptable|the suitable} imaging to accurately confirm if the fracture is pathologic? (2) Is CRPP an appropriate technique within the management of non-displaced leg bone neck fractures in cancer patients?

It is hypothesized that: (1) CT imaging will accurately determine pathologic lesions in cancer patients gifting with leg bone neck fractures and (2) patients UN agency present with non-pathologic valgus-impacted leg bone neck fractures may be treated safely with osteosynthesis and can not need extra surgery for native illness progression.

SURGICAL TECHNIQUES

CRPP concerned positioning the patient on a radiolucent table with care taken to avoid displacement of the fracture. Orthogonal photography imaging was utilised intra-operatively to position 3 cannulated screws, either 6.5 mm or 7.3 millimeter per surgeon's preference, in associate inverted triangle or diamond configuration.

A posterolateral approach was utilised for PMMA cemented hemiarthroplasty all told cases. the selection of implant manufacturer was at the surgeons' discretion. Postoperatively, all patients were weight bearing as tolerated and evaluated by physiatrics on operative day one. general cancer medical care was delayed for two weeks or till wound healing occurred.

Statistical Analysis

The changes within the patient demographics were qualified by applied mathematics analysis. The imaging studies were assessed by accuracy, as outlined by true the add of positive and true negative divided by the entire variety of teams, sensitivity, specificity, NPV, and PPV. Utilizing SAS version twenty five (SAS, Gary, NC) a chi-squared check was performed to check the sturdiness of the 2 fixation ways. A two-sided p price

RESULTS

Advanced imaging improves accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, positive prognosticative worth and negative prognosticative worth Analysis of picture taking imaging for all 109 patients undergoing hour angle incontestible that radiographs alone were correct seventy four of the time with sensitivity of zero.76 and specificity of zero.70 in predicting AN underlying pathological reason behind the fracture. The addition of any advanced imaging study improved the results to ninetieth, 0.97, and 0.74, severally. singly, CT scan incontestible results of ninety one, 0.96, and 0.79, severally. magnetic resonance imaging incontestible results of eighty six, 1.00, 0.70, severally. PET scan incontestible results of ninety fifth, 1.00, and 0.75, severally. Bone scan incontestible results of half of one mile, 0.95, and 0.50, severally. Utilizing multiple modalities of advanced imaging resulted in accuracy ninety one, sensitivity of zero.97, and specificity of zero.76. atomic number 43 ninety nine bone scans and PET scans had 100% NPV. Combining PET scan with the other advanced imaging technique improved the accuracy, sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV to 100% (Table 2).

CRPP and hour angle provide sturdy constructive choices Revision surgery was needed for one patient that had a mechanical fall among one month of CRPP. At the time of research, one patient within the CRPP series was alive and seventeen patients had died while not requiring revision surgery at a mean follow-up of eleven.3 months. Pathologic analysis showed that hour angle was performed on thirty six patients with non- pathologic fractures. No revision surgeries were needed within the hour angle non-pathologic cohort, of that nine patients were alive and twenty seven patients died while not requiring revision surgery at a mean follow- of thirty four.7 months. Patients WHO underwent CRPP had a distinct primary cancer distribution and were a lot of seemingly to be non-displaced fractures than hour angle patients. there have been no vital variations in age, extent of unwellness, quality standing, advanced imaging obtained, ASA classification, and surgical complications. Of interest, a larger proportion of patients had higher or a similar quality once CRPP versus hour angle, however this distinction didn't reach applied mathematics significance (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The management of limb neck fractures in patients with cancer isn't well-defined. Patients should still need general medical care for his or her oncological unwellness burden. Therefore, determinative the acceptable course of management for the limb neck fracture may be a priority. There square measure 2 call points among within the algorithmic program that this study wanted to ANswer: (1) what's applicable|the acceptable|the suitable} imaging to see if the fracture is pathologic? (2) Is CRPP an appropriate technique within the management of non-displaced limb neck fractures in patients with cancer? Analysis incontestible that radiographs while not advanced imaging for comparison square measure incorrect twenty sixth of the time to predict a pathologic limb neck fracture. CT imaging improves the accuracy to ninety one. If results square measure still equivocal, then a PET scan will improve the accuracy to 100%. With relevancy the tactic of

fixation, CRPP was found to supply a sturdy constructive choice in non-displaced, non-pathologic fractures in patients with pathological process unwellness. hour angle provides a sturdy constructive choice in displaced fractures during this same cohort.

If the CT results square measure equivocal, then a PET scan will improve the accuracy to 100% however might not promptly accessible. supported these results, a patient will be properly placed into the acceptable limb neck fracture category: non-displaced non-pathologic; displaced non-pathologic; and pathologic. Non-displaced non- pathologic fractures will be treated with CRPP. A fracture will be treated with hour angle. Pathologic fractures ought to be stated AN orthopedical specialist to optimize their practical and oncological outcomes. The algorithmic program is conferred in Figure one.

within the cohort of eighteen patients that underwent transdermal promise for non-pathologic fracture, one patient needed revision to a hemiarthroplasty. this can be but the ten rate of revisions noted by Kahlenberg et al. in their cohort of patients treated with transdermal longing among non-cancer patients [12]. The one revision surgery was necessary once a mechanical fall and wasn't associated with her oncological designation or any unwellness progression.

This study has limitations. it had been a retrospective review of patients presenting with limb neck fractures and a history of cancer. supported the analysis of the results, AN algorithmic program was created to assist guide the management of this population. However, prospective validation of the algorithmic program is required. Another limitation is that the range of patients used to validate the algorithmic program. Over a 15-year amount, solely eighteen patients underwent transdermal promise for hip fractures at our establishment. in a very study by Alvi and Damron, eleven of ninety six patients with thighbone lesions intimate with native bony unwellness progression of unwellness, however just one of ninety six patients developed progression from AN unknown pathological process lesion [11]. supported these estimates, our study is underpowered to notice progression from AN unknown pathological process lesion.

CONCLUSION

The difficulty in patients with cancer presenting with limb fractures is ruling out pathologic fractures ANd determine that patients might enjoy a referral to an orthopedical specialist. Radiographs while not advanced imaging for comparison square measure incorrect twenty sixth of the time. Advanced imaging improves the accuracy considerably. The algorithmic program conferred will assist within the management of patients with a history of cancer presenting with a limb neck fracture. However, further studies square measure needed to prospectively validate the algorithmic program.

REFERENCES

1. Burge R., et al. "Incidence and economic burden of osteoporosis- related fractures in the United States". J Bone Miner Res 22.3 (2007):465-475.

2. Eisler J., et al. "Outcomes of elderly patients with nondisplaced femoral neck fractures". Clin Orthop Relat Res 399 (2002):52-58.

3. Koval K.J., et al. "Hip fractures: I. Overview and evaluation and treatment of femoral-neck fractures". J Am Acad Orthop Surg 2.3 (1994):141-149.

4. Murphy D.K., et al. "Treatment and displacement affect the reoperation rate for femoral neck fracture". Clin Orthop Relat Res 471.8 (2013):2691-2702.

5. Phillips J.E., et al. "Undisplaced fracture of the neck of the femur: Results of treatment of 100 patients treated by single Watson-Jones nail fixation". Injury 19.2 (1988):93-96.

6. Schmidt A.H., et al. "Femoral neck fractures". Orthop Clin North Am 33.1 (2002):97-111.

7. Parker M.J., et al. "Fixation versus hemiarthroplasty for undisplaced intracapsular hip fractures". Injury 39.7 (2008):791- 795.

8. Avery P.P., et al. "Total hip replacement and hemiarthroplasty in mobile, independent patients with a displaced intra-capsular fracture of the femoral neck: A seven- to ten-year follow-up report of a prospective randomized controlled trial". J Bone Joint Surg Br 93.8 (2011):1045-1048.

9. Arnold W.D., et al. "Treatment of intracapsular fractures of the femoral neck. With special reference to percutaneous Knowles pinning". J Bone Joint Surg Am 56.2 (1974):254-262.

10. Jemal A., et al. "Annual Report to the Nation on the Status of Cancer, 1975-2014, Featuring Survival". J Natl Cancer Inst 109.9 (2017):30.

11. Alvi H.M., et al. "Prophylactic stabilization for bone metastases, myeloma, or lymphoma: Do we need to protect the entire bone?". Clin Orthop Relat Res 471.3 (2013):706-714.