

Hepatocellular Carcinoma Classification and Current Treatment

Robert Elliott

*Corresponding author

Robert Elliott

Department of Imaging Sciences, University of Rochester,
601 Elmwood Avenue, Rochester, NY, 14642, United States

Received Date: June 09, 2022

Accepted Date: June 10, 2022

Published Date: July 09, 2022

Abstract

Hepatic cirrhosis is a risk factor for the development of the aggressive primary liver cancer known as hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). The Hepatitis C virus, which causes cirrhosis, is becoming more common in the United States, which has led to an increase in HCC cases. When tumours are modest, curative treatment for HCC is indicated and may entail radiofrequency ablation, liver transplantation, or surgical resection. Transarterial chemoembolization and transarterial radioembolization are two locoregional treatments that can be utilised either as a primary therapy or as an adjuvant to surgical care. The initial monitoring of individuals at risk for HCC, the most recent recommendations for HCC diagnosis and staging, and a review of the best practises for HCC therapy will all be covered in this review article.

Keywords

Hepatocellular carcinoma, Locoregional therapy, Radiofrequency ablation, Transarterial chemoembolization, Transarterial radioembolization

Introduction

The most frequent primary liver tumour and the leading cause of death for those with cirrhosis is hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). [1] HCC is some of the poorest survival rates of all malignancies, with 1-year and 5-year survival rates of 50% and 20%, respectively. [2] Since 1980, the incidence of HCC has tripled in the United States, mostly due to an increase in the frequency of hepatitis C among baby boomers. population. Due to the rising incidence of cirrhosis attributable to non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) in the United States, it is expected that the incidence of HCC

will continue to be high in the future. [3]

Transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) and transarterial radioembolization with yttrium-90 (Y90) will be recommended for many patients with HCC as part of a multimodal strategy to either downstage illness (to a more manageable stage) or to treat advanced disease (to a more severe stage).a point where a transplant or surgical resection may be necessary), stop disease development (especially for those on a Waitlists for liver transplants, so-called “bridge” therapies, or more generally, palliative care with the goal of extending survival for patients who are not candidates for surgery. The current best practises for initial HCC surveillance, diagnosis, disease staging, and treatment are reviewed here.

HCC SURVEILLANCE :

Surgery is typically avoided once tumours are multifocal, above 5 cm, or show vascular invasion because at that moment undetected extrahepatic dissemination is more likely and is linked to an early disease recurrence. However, surgical indications remain debatable. Only 30–40% of individuals who are diagnosed with advanced HCC are therefore candidates for surgery. [4] Patients have a 70% likelihood of receiving an early or very early diagnosis if they are successfully enrolled in a surveillance programme. Early diagnosis is crucial in these situations since curative therapies provide 5-year survival rates that consistently exceed According to major US guidelines, HCC surveillance is recommended for anyone with cirrhosis, regardless of the cause. [6,7] A subpopulation of people with hepatitis B may exist who do not yet have cirrhosis but are at such a high risk of acquiring HCC due to either high viral numbers or an Asian or African ancestry that surveillance is financially advantageous. [8] In a similar vein, although this is not known for sure, surveillance for people with F3 fibrosis detected through liver biopsy may also represent a cohort whose surveillance is cost-effective despite not yet having cirrhosis.

Hepatic ultrasonography is used to monitor HCC every 4 to 8 months. The HCC’s quick 100-day median period for tumour doubling makes short interval surveillance necessary. [9] Between 65 and 80 percent of ultrasound surveillance is sensitive. [10] Ultrasound screening for HCC is ineffective in patients with end-stage liver disease, who may instead need cross-sectional imaging. This is based on a research of 27 people who underwent ultrasound examinations on average 90 days prior to liver transplantation, and it was discovered that ultrasound was only 20% sensitive to HCC after correlation with pathologic assessment of the liver explant.

It is unclear if combining serum alphafetoprotein (AFP) measurement with ultrasound monitoring would be

advantageous. For illness identification, AFP is neither sensitive nor specific. This is due to the fact that many early HCCs do not release AFP and that AFP levels rise naturally as liver cirrhosis progresses. Before cirrhosis is identified, there is no reason to screen people with NAFLD for the disease. Contrary to virally induced cirrhosis, people with NAFLD-cirrhosis have a significantly lower incidence of HCC and overall fewer liver-related problems.

CURATIVE TREATMENT FOR HCC :

Partial surgical resection

Multinodular intrahepatic metastases are first caused by the metastatic spread of HCC through the portal vein, and extrahepatic metastases are then caused by the metastatic spread of HCC through the hepatic vein. This is why anatomic segmental resection along the portal blood supply is carried out in an effort to remove the HCC's primary tumour while also catching hidden metastases in the portal vein. Multiple related contraindications exist when removing the liver (Table 1). The volume of the future in many circumstances.

Hepatic resection will be restricted by liver remnant (FLR) after resection. The FLR for cirrhotic individuals must equal at least 40% of the liver volume prior to resection. Many patients with an expected small FLR will have their portal vein embolized prior to resection, which results in ischemia of the embolized lobe and concurrent regenerative hypertrophy of the contralateral lobe (the FLR). After portal surgery, partial resection may not always be possible. vein embolization due to iatrogenic tumor progression in the non-treated lobe because of rapid shunting of blood flow. Although this is less common with HCC than with metastatic disease, it remains a potential adverse outcome.

[24] For this reason, Y90 may offer better outcomes for patients with small FLR as it can be used to both treat ipsilateral HCC and additionally will cause hypertrophy of the FLR. After a hepatic resection, the survival rate is high, ranging from 87% to 97% after one year to between 35% and 74% after five years. [30,33-35] As 5-year survival for tumours >10 cm is between 27% and 40%, which is better than alternative therapy, tumour size alone is not a limiting criterion for hepatic resection. [36] Patients with bilobar HCC or portal hypertension, which are often exclusion criteria, may benefit from partial resection.

CONCLUSIONS

Treatment of HCC with curative aim, such as liver transplantation, partial liver resection, or percutaneous RFA, offers a significant improvement in patient survival when feasible. Locoregional therapy, such as TACE and Y90, is frequently safe and can be used in conjunction with surgical therapies. It is unknown if there is a benefit to Y90 prior to liver resection in terms of ipsilateral tumour control or contralateral liver remnant hypertrophy. logical substitute for many patients. The SARAH and SIRveNIB trials showed that

Y90 was inferior to sorafenib, however the sorafenib arm had nearly twice as many side effects. The role of either TACE or Y90 in combination with sorafenib for advanced illness will need to be clearly defined in the future. It has been greatly anticipated to compare HCC treated just with sorafenib with HCC treated in combination with sorafenib and Y90 in the ongoing SORAMIC and STOP-HCC trials.

References

1. SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2014. National Cancer Institute; 2018. Available from: www.seer.cancer.gov. [Last accessed on 2018 Jan 03].
2. Altekruse SF, Henley SJ, Cucinelli JE, McGlynn KA. Changing hepatocellular carcinoma incidence and liver cancer mortality rates in the United States. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2014;109:542-53.
3. Petrick JL, Kelly SP, Altekruse SF, McGlynn KA, Rosenberg PS. Future of hepatocellular carcinoma incidence in the United States forecast through 2030. *J Clin Oncol* 2016;34:1787-94.
4. Park JW, Chen MS, Colombo M, Roberts LR, Schwartz M, Chen PJ, et al. Global patterns of hepatocellular carcinoma management from diagnosis to death: The BRIDGE Study. *Liver Int* 2015;35:2155-66.
5. Kuo YH, Lu SN, Chen CL, Cheng YF, Lin CY, Hung CH, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma surveillance and appropriate treatment options improve survival for patients with liver cirrhosis. *Euro J Cancer* 2010;46:744-51.
6. Heimbach JK, Kulik LM, Finn RS, Sirlin CB, Abecassis MM, Roberts LR, et al. AASLD guidelines for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* 2018;67:358-80.
7. Benson AB 3rd AT, Ben-Josef E, Bloomston PM, Botha JF, Clary BM, Covey BM, et al. NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology: Hepatobiliary cancers. *J Natl Comprehen Cancer Netw* 2009;7:350-91
8. European Assoc Study L, European Org Res Treatment C. EASL-EORTC clinical practice guidelines: Management of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* 2012;56:1430.

9. Kubota K, Ina H, Okada Y, Irie T. Growth rate of primary single hepatocellular carcinoma-Determining optimal screening interval with contrast enhanced computed tomography. *Digestive Dis Sci* 2003;48:581-6.
10. Andreana LI, Pleguezuelo M, Germani G, Burroughs AK. Surveillance and diagnosis of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis. *World J Hepatol* 2009;1:48-1.
11. Bennett GL, Krinsky GA, Abitbol RJ, Kim SY, Theise ND, Teperman LW. Sonographic detection of hepatocellular carcinoma and dysplastic nodules in cirrhosis: Correlation of pretransplantation sonography and liver explant pathology in 200 patients. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2002;179:75-80.
12. Chang TS, Wu YC, Tung SY, Wei KL, Hsieh YY, Huang HC, et al. Alpha-fetoprotein measurement benefits hepatocellular carcinoma surveillance in patients with cirrhosis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2016;111:836.
13. Sherman M. Serological Surveillance for hepatocellular carcinoma: Time to quit. *J Hepatol* 2010;52:614-5.
14. Ascha MS, Hanouneh IA, Lopez R, Tamimi TA, Feldstein AF, Zein NN. The incidence and risk factors of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Hepatology* 2010;51:1972-8.
15. Rapaccini GL, Pompili M, Caturelli E, Covino M, Lippi ME, Beccaria S, et al. Hepatocellular carcinomas < 2 cm in diameter complicating cirrhosis: Ultrasound and clinical features in 153 consecutive patients. *Liver Int* 2004;24:124-30.
16. Lee YJ, Lee JM, Lee JS, Lee HY, Park BH, Kim YH, et al. Hepatocellular carcinoma: Diagnostic performance of multidetector CT and MR imaging-a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Radiology* 2015;275:97-109
17. Basha MA, El Sammak DA, El Sammak AA. Diagnostic efficacy of the liver imaging-reporting and data system (LI-RADS) with CT imaging in categorising small nodules (10-20 mm) detected in the cirrhotic liver at screening ultrasound. *Clin Radiol* 2017;72:901.e1-901.e11.
18. Tanabe M, Kanki A, Wolfson T, Costa EA, Mamidipalli A, Ferreira MP, et al. Imaging outcomes of liver imaging reporting and data system version 2014 category 2, 3, and 4 observations detected at CT and MR imaging. *Radiology* 2016;281:129-39.
19. Durand FR, Belghiti J, Sauvanet A, Vilgrain V, Terris B, Moutardier V, et al. Assessment of the benefits and risks of percutaneous biopsy before surgical resection of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatol* 2001;35:254-8.
20. Llovet JM, Bruix J. Prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma: The BCLC staging classification. *Semin Liver Dis* 1999;19:329-38.
21. Yau T, Tang VY, Yao TJ, Fan ST, Lo CM, Poon RT. Development of Hong Kong liver cancer staging system with treatment stratification for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology*